Guidelines for the Conduct of Test for Distinctiveness, Uniformity and Stability On

Japanese Plum

(*Prunus salicina* L.)



Protection of Plant varieties and Farmer's Rights Authority

(PPV & FRA)

JAPANESE PLUM (*Prunus salicina* L.)

I. Subject

These test guidelines shall apply to all varieties of Japanese Plum (Prunus salicina L.).

II. Material required

- 1. The Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Authority (PPV&FRA) shall decide on the quantity and quality of the plant material required for testing the variety and when and where it is to be delivered for registration under the Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights (PPV&FR) Act, 2001. Applicants submitting such plant material from a country other than India shall make sure that all customs and quarantine requirements stipulated under relevant national legislations and regulations are complied with. As a minimum the applicant may submit 10 grafted or budded plants of plum on seedling rootstock for each centre.
- 2. The plant material supplied should be visibly healthy, not lacking in vigour, nor affected by any important pest or disease.
- 3. The plant material should not have undergone any treatment, which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If it has been treated, full details of the treatment must be given.

III. Conduct of tests

- 1. The minimum duration of the DUS tests shall normally be at least for two fruiting seasons in succeeded years.
- 2. The test should be carried out under conditions ensuring satisfactory growth for the expression of the relevant characteristics of the variety and for conduct of the evaluation. Each test should include total of 6 trees for each variety. In particular, it is essential that the trees produce a satisfactory crop of fruit in each of the two growing seasons.
- 3. Test plot design

The design of the tests should be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measurement or counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing cycle. The additional test protocol for special purpose may be established by PPV & FRA

1	Locations	: Two
2	No. of replication	: Three
3	Treatment unit	: Two trees per replication (total 6 plants/location)
4	Spacing	: 3 x3m

IV. Methods and observations

The characteristics described in the Table of characteristics (see section VII) shall be used for the testing varieties and hybrids for their DUS.

- 1. For the assessment of Distinctiveness and Stability, observations shall be made on 6 plants or 18 parts taken from 6 plants with the exception of the observation on fruit which should be made on at least 20 fruits. In the case of parts of plants, the number to be taken from each of the plant should be three.
- 2. For the assessment of uniformity a population standard of 5% with an acceptance probability of at least 95% should be applied. In the case of a sample size of 6 plants, no off types are allowed.
- 3. All observations of the tree and the branches should be made during dormancy.
- 4. Time of bloom should be recorded from opening of first flower to 75% bloom.
- 5. All observations on the leaf should be made on fully developed leaves of the middle third of current season's shoot.
- 6. Days to maturity should be recorded from 75% blooming to harvest.
- 7. Observations on the mature fruit should be recorded when fruit is ready for harvest.
- 8. Type of assessment of characteristics as indicated in column of Table VII of characteristics is as follows.
- *a) MG*: *Measurement by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants*
- b) MS: Measurement by a single observation of individual plants or parts of plant
- c) VG: Visual assessments by a single observation of a group of plants or part of plants
- d) VS: Visual assessments by observation of individual plants or parts of plant

V. Grouping of varieties

- 1. The candidate varieties for DUS testing shall be divided into groups to facilitate the assessment of Distinctiveness. Characteristics, which are known from experience not to vary, or to vary only slightly within a variety and which in their various states are fairly evenly distributed across all varieties in the collection are suitable for grouping purpose.
- 2. It is recommended that the concerned authorities use the following characteristics for grouping plum varieties
 - a. Tree: Habit (characteristic no. 2)
 - b. Flower: arrangement of petals (characteristic no. 15)
 - c. Leaf blade: Incisions of margin (characteristic no. 20)
 - d. Leaf: shape of nectaries (characteristic no. 22)
 - e. Fruit: shape in lateral view (characteristic no. 26)
 - f. Stone: shape in lateral view (characteristic no. 44)
 - g. Stone: shape in ventral view (characteristic no. 45)

VI. Characteristics and symbols

- 1. To assess Distinctiveness, Uniformity and Stability, the characteristics and their states as given in the Table of characteristics (Section VII) shall be used.
- 2. Notes (1 to 9) shall be given for each state of expression for different characteristics for the purpose of electronic data processing.
- 3. Legend
- (*) Characteristics that shall be observed during every growing season on all varieties and shall always be included in the description of the variety, except when the state of expression of any of these characters is rendered impossible by a preceding phenological characteristics or by the environmental conditions of the testing region. Under such exceptional situation, adequate explanation shall be provided.
- (+) See Explanation on the Table of characteristics in Section VIII. It is to be noted that for certain characteristics, the plant parts on which observations to be taken are given in the explanation or figure(s) for clarity and not the colour variation.
- 4. A code number in the sixth column of Table of characteristics indicates the optimum stage for the observation of each characteristic during growth and development of plant. The relevant growth stages corresponding to these code numbers are described below:
 - a. Observations on tree vigour and habit should be made during dormant season
 - b. Observations on flowers should be made at the time of full bloom (75% flowering)
 - c. The observations on the leaves should be made on mature leaves from current season's shoot.
 - d. Observation on fruit should be made at mature fruit

VII. Table of characteristics:

S.No.	Characteristics	States	Notes	Example varieties	Stage	Туре
				-	of	of
					observ	assess
					ation	ment
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Tree :type of	On spur only	1	Santa Rosa	a	VG
	bearing	On spur and long shoots	2	Black Beauty, Kubio		
		On long shoots only	3			
2	Tree : habit	Upright	1	Santa Rosa, Methley	a	VG
(+)		Semi upright	2	Krassavica, Frontier, Kubio-26		
(*)		Spreading	3	Kubio, Queen Ann		
		Drooping	4	-		
3	One year old shoot: colour	Greyish brown	3	Santa Rosa, , Kubio-26, Tarrol,	a	VG
		Yellow brown	5	Burbank, Black Amber		
		Brown	7	Methley, Kanto-5		
		Reddish brown	9	Kubio, Red Beaut		
4	Vegetative	small	3	Beauty, Krassavica	a	MG
	bud: Size	(<5)				
	(mm)	Medium (>10)	5	Kubio-26, Santa Rosa		
		Large (11-15)	7	Kanto-5, Red Plum		
5	Vegetative	Obtuse	1	Burbank, Kanto-5, Beauty, Tarrol	a	VG
(*)	Bud: shape of	Acute	2	Red Plum		
(+)	apex	Round	3	Red Beauty, Mariposa, Frontier		
6 (*)	One year old shoot: Position	Adpressed	1	Methley, Kubio, ,Beauty	а	VG
(+)	of vegetative bud in relation	Slightly held out	2	Queen Ann, Red Plum, Red Beaut, Black Amber		
	to shoot	Markedly held out	3	AU-Cherry		
7	Flower:	Small (<15)	3	Tarrol, Beauty, Krassavica, Methley	b	MG
	diameter	Medium (15-20)	5	Red Plum, Kubio		
	(mm)	Large (>20)	7	Mariposa, Kanto-5, Santa Rosa		
8	Time of	Early	3	Mariposa		VG
(+)	begining of	Medium	5	Burbank		
	flowering	Late	7	Kubio-26		
9 (*)	Petal: Shape	Ovate	3	Santa Rosa, Tarrol	b	VG
(+)		Elliptic	5	Burbank, Queen Ann		
		Circular	7	Red Plum, Kanto5		
		Oblate	9	-		

10	Petal: length	Short < 7	3	Red Plum, Kanto-5	b	MG
(*)	(mm)	Medium 7-10	5	Santa Rosa, Black AmberBurbank		
		Large >10	7	Black Beaut, Red Beaut, Mariposa		
11	Petal:	Weak	3	Red beaut	b	VG
	undulation of					
	margin	Medium	5	Kanto-5		
		Strong	7	Santa Rosa, Mariposa		
12	Stigma:	Below	3	Queen Ann, Santa Rosa	b	VG
(*)	position	At the same level	5	Kubio-26, Methley, Red Beaut,		
	compared to	Above	7	Krassavica, Kubio		
	anthers					
13	Sepal: shape	Triangular	3	Mariposa	b	VG
(*)		Ovate	5	Tarrol, Beauty		
(+)		Elliptic	7	Krassivica, Methley,		
		1				
14	Pedicel:	Short (<10)	3	Beauty, Methley	b	MS/V
(*)	Length	Medium (10-20)	5	Kubio		G
	(mm)	Long (>20)	7	Burbank		
15	Flower:	Free	3	Red Plum,Santa Rosa, Kanto-5	b	VG
(*)	arrangement	Touching	5	Kubio-26, Tarrol, Black Amber		
(+)	of petals			Black Beaut,		
		Overlapping	7	Mariposa, Kubio, Queen Ann, Red		
				Beaut, Burbank		
16	Leaf blade:	Low	3	Krassavica, Black Beaut, Kubio,	с	MG
(*)	ratio	<2		Methley		
	length/width	Medium	5	Tarrol, Black Amber, Red Plum		
	(cm)	2-2.5				
		High	7	Mariposa, Red Beaut, Beauty		
		>3				
17	Leaf blade:	Ovate	1	Methley, Mariposa, Black Beaut,	с	VG
(+)	shape			Queen Ann		
(*)		Elliptic	2	Red Beaut, Black Amber, Beauty,		
			2	Krasavica, Santa Rosa		
		Obovate	3	Kubio, Red Plum ,Burbank, Tarrol		
18	I eaf blade:	Acute	3	Monarch Black Amber	C	VG
10 (+)	angle of anex(Right angled	5	Kubio-26	C	10
(*)	excluding tin)	Obtuse	7	Black Beaut Tarrol Burbank		
	chorading up)	Obtuse	,	Diack Deaut, Tarroi, Durbank		
19	Leaf blade:	Sparse	3	Black Beaut, Mariposa, Beauty	с	VG
	density of		-	F,	-	
	pubescence on	medium	5	Oueen Ann. Santa Ros		
	lower side	strong	7	Kanto-5	1	
20	Leaf blade:	Crenate	1	Red Beaut, Black Beaut, Tarrol	с	VG
(+)	incisions of			,,		_
(*)	margin					
	Ŭ	Bi-crenate	2	-		
		Serrate	3	Santa Rosa		
		Bi-serrate	4	-		

21	Petiole:	Short	3	Black Amber, Burbank, Tarrol	с	MG
(*)	Length	<1	_			
	(cm)	Medium 1-1.5	5	Kubio, Krassavica,		
		Long >1.5	7	Beauty		
22	Leaf: shape of	round	3	Kubio, Methley, Kanto-5	с	VG
(+) (*)	nactaries	reniform	5	Red Beaut, Tarrol		
23	Leaf : Position of nectaries	Predominant on base of leaf blade	1	1 Methley c		VG
		Equal on base of leaf blade and on petiole	2	Kubio-26		
		Predominant on petiole	3	Beauty, Queen Ann		
24	Fruit: length of stalk (mm)	Short <10	3	Red Plum,	d	MG
		Medium 10-18	5	Krassavica, Kanto-5		
		Long >18	7	Beauty, Red Beaut, Mariposa		
25	Fruit: size	Small (<15)	3	Kanto-5, Black Beaut, Burbank	d	MG
(*)	(weight in g)	Medium (15-30)	5	Krassavica, Beauty		
		Large (>30)	7	Santa Rosa, Mariposa		
26	Fruit: shape in	Oblong	1	-	d	VG
(+) (*)	lateral view	Elliptic	2	Beauty		
		Circular	3	Red Beaut ,Tarrol		
		Oblate	4	Black Amber, Krassavica, Mariposa,		
		Cordate	5	Queen Ann, Kanto-5, Kubio		
		Obovate	6	-		
		Obcordate	7	Santa Rosa		
27	Fruit:	Symmetric	1	Methley	d	VG
	symmetry	Asymmetric	9	Red Beaut, Krassavica, Mariposa		
28	Fruit: shape of	Pointed	3	Beauty, Red Plum, Santa Rosa	d	VG
(+)	apex	Rounded	5	Red Beaut, Methley		
(*)		Truncated	7	Mariposa		
•		Depressed	9	Black Beaut, Burbank, Red Beaut	1	
29 (*)	Fruit: shape of	Pointed	3	- Madhlan Kasaania	d	VG
(*)	Dase	I runcated	5	wietniey, Krassavica		
		Depressed	7	Santa Rosa, Mariposa		
30 (+)	Fruit: depth of stalk cavity	Shallow (<3)	3	Methley, Queen Ann	d	MG
(*)	(mm)	Medium (3-6)	5	Red plum, Burbank, Kanto-5		

		Deep (>6)	7	Red Beaut, Santa Rosa, Mariposa		
31	Fruit: width of	Narrow (<5)	3	Kanto-5	d	MG
(+)	stalk cavity	Medium (5-10)	5	Beauty, Black Beaut, Kubio-26		
(*)	(mm)	Broad (>10)	7	Red Beaut, Santa Rosa, Mariposa		
		× ,				
32	Fruit: depth of	Shallow	3	Kanto-5, Queen Ann	d	MG
(*)	suture	Medium	5	Krassavica, Beauty, Black Amber,		
		Deep	7	Mariposa, Red Beaut ,Santa Rosa		
33	Fruit: bloom	Weak	3	Red Beaut, Black Beaut	d	VG
(*)	of skin					
		Medium	5	Mariposa, Santa Rosa		
				Black Amber, Methley		
		Strong	7	Kanto-5, Black Beaut		
34	Fruit: relative	Small	3	Tarrol	d	VG
(*)	area of over					
	colour of skin	Medium	5	Mariposa, Santa Rosa , Burbank		
		Large	7	CITH-P-1, CITH-P-2, Kubio-26		
35	Fruit: over	Yellow	1	Burbank,	d	VG
(*)	colour of skin					
		Orange yellow	2	Kanto-5,Tarrol		
		Medium Red	3	Beauty, Santa Rosa, Krassavica,		
				Mariposa,		
		Dark red	4	Red Beaut, Red Plum, Methley,		
			_	Kubio		
		Purple	5	Black Beaut		
		D 111				
		Dark blue	6	-		
		Black	1	-		
36	Fruit: pattern	Flecks only	1	Mariposa, Beauty,	d	VG
(*)	of	Mottled	3	Red Beaut, Tarrol, Kanto-5		
	over colour of	Solid flush	5	Methley, Black Beaut, Red Plum		
	skin	~				
37	Fruit: density	Sparse	3	Methley, Tarrol, Kanto-5	d	VG
(*)	of	Medium	5	Black Beaut, Burbank, Red Beaut		
	Lenticeis	Dense	1	Red Plum, Queen Ann		
20	Emite colour	Whitigh	1	Ded Desut	4	VC
38 (*)	f fluit: colour	Crean	1	Tornol	a	VG
(.)	of fiesh	Vellewich groop	2	Tallol Kubio 26 Tormol	-	
		Yellow	3	Rubio-20, Tarrol Red Plum Marinese Kente 5		
		renow	4	Ked Plum, Mariposa, Kanto-5,		
		Oren aa	6	Riassavica Diegi: Amber	-	
		Madium rad	5	Diack Alliber	-	
		Doult nod	7	Mathlay Doouty Vichia		
		Dark red	/	Wenney, Beauty, Kubio		
		Purplish	8	-		
39	Fruit:	Soft	3	Kanto-5, Queen Ann, Beauty	d	MG
(+)	firmness of	<30				

	Flesh	Medium	5	Red Plum, Monarch , Red Beaut		
		Firm >35	7	Santa Rosa, Mariposa		
40	Fruit:	Low	1	Mariposa, Beauty , Black Beaut	d	MG
	juiciness	Medium	2	Oueen Ann Santa Rosa		
		High	3	Kanto-5. Black Amber		
41	Fruit:	Low <15	3	Red Beaut	d	MG
(+)	sweetness	Medium	5	Mariposa, Santa Rosa, Red Plum,	-	
`	(^o Brix)	15-17		Beauty, Burbank, Methley		
		High >17	7	Queen Ann, Krassavica		
42 (*)	Fruit: adherence of	Non-Adherent	1	-	d	VG
	stone to flesh	Semi-adherent	2	Queen Ann, Mariposa		
		Adherent	3	Tarrol, Black Beaut, Kubio-26		
43 (*)	Stone: size (g)	Small (<1.5)	3	Krassavica, Red plum	d	MG
		Medium (1.5- 2.5)	5	Queen Ann, Beauty, Black Amber, Kanto-5		
		Large (>2.5)	7	Santa Rosa, Red Beaut, Mariposa		
44 (+)	Stone: shape in lateral view	Narrow elliptic	1	Queen Ann, Black Amber	d	VG
(*)		Medium elliptic	2	Beauty, Santa Rosa, Krassavica		
		Circular	3	-		
		Broad ovate	4	Red Plum, Burbank,		
45 (+)	Stone: shape in ventral view	Narrow elliptic	1	Frontier, Krassavica, Kanto-5	d	VG
(*)		Medium elliptic	2	Mariposa , Santa Rosa, Queen Ann		
		Broad elliptic	3	Red plum, Burbank		
46	Stone: texture	Fine grained	1	Krassavica, Burbank, Red Beaut,	d	VG
(*)	of			Methley, Queen Ann		
	lateral surface	Granular	3	Kubio, Black Amber		
		Rough	5	Beauty , Kanto-5, Red Plum, Mariposa		
		Hammered	7	Santa Rosa		
47	Stone: width	Narrow (<4)	1	Kanto-5, Red Beaut, Black Amber,	d	MG
(+) of stalk-end Queen Ann		Queen Ann				
(*)	(*) (mm) Medium (4-8) 2		2	Black Beaut, Kubio-26		
		Broad (>8)	3	Red Plum, Mariposa, Beauty, Santa Rosa		

VIII. Explanation for the Table of characteristics





Character 5: Vegetative bud: shape of apex







Character 8: Time of beginning of flowering

The time of beginning of flowering is when all trees have 10% open flowers.

Character 9: Petal: Shape



3

Ovate



7



5 Elliptic

Circular

9 Oblate Character 13: Sepal: Shape



Character 15: Flower: arrangement of petals



3

Free



5 Touching



7 Overlapping





Character 18: Leaf blade: angle of apex (excluding tip)



Character 22: Leaf: shape of nectaries













Character 39: Fruit: Firmness of flesh

To be observed at eating ripeness with firmness tester expressed in RI (relative Index).

Character 41: Fruit: Sweetness

Calculation of total soluble solids measured using a refractometer. The measured unitis the degree Brix (° Brix). One degree Brix corresponds to 1 gram of sucrose in 100 grams of solution.

Character 44: Stone: shape in lateral view



Narrow Elliptic







3 Circular

4 Broad Ovate

Character 45: Stone: shape in ventral view







1 Narrow Elliptic

2 Medium Elliptic

3 Broad Ovate

Character 47: Stone: width of stalk-end



1 Narrow





Working Group details:

The task force has finalized the DUS test guidelines for **Plum** with support of Dr. Javid Iqbal Mir, Nodal Officer & Shi Lal, Co-Nodal Officer, Dr. Ramesh Kumar and SRF Asma Hamid of CITH, Srinagar. The officials of the PPV&FR Authority including Dr. Tejbir Singh, Registrar-II (Hort.) and Sh. Dipal Roy Choudhury, Joint Registrar also provided technical input.

The Members of the Task Force (21/11/2014)

1	Dr. J. P. Tiwari	Chairman
-	Ex-Dean. College of Agriculture	
	G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology	
2	Dr. S. N. Pandey	Member
	Ex-ADG (Hort.) ICAR	
3	Dr. Nazeer Ahmed	Member
	Director, CITH, Srinagar	
4	Dr K. K. Srivastava	Member
	Senior Scientist,	
	CISH, Lucknow	
5	Dr. K.Kumar	Member
	Principal Scientist	
	DR. YSPUH&F, Solan	
6	Dr S. Rajan	Member
	Principal Scientist	
	CISH, Lucknow	
7	Dr Manoj Srivastava	Member Secretary
	Registrar	
	PPV&FRA, New Delhi	

Nodal Person

Dr Javid Iqbal Mir Central Institute of Temperate Horticulture, Srinagar, J&K.

Co-Nodal Person

Mr.Shiv Lal, Scientist

Central Institute of Temperate Horticulture, Srinagar, J&K.

Nodal DUS Test Centre	Other DUS Test Centre
Central Institute of Temperate Horticulture,	
Rangreth, Srinagar (J&K)	