## Guidelines

for the Conduct of Test for Distinctiveness, Uniformity and Stability On

## Japanese Plum <br> (Prunus salicina L.)



Protection of Plant varieties and Farmer's Rights
Authority
(PPV \& FRA)

# JAPANESE PLUM (Prunus salicina L.) 

## I. Subject

These test guidelines shall apply to all varieties of Japanese Plum (Prunus salicina L.).

## II. Material required

1. The Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Authority (PPV\&FRA) shall decide on the quantity and quality of the plant material required for testing the variety and when and where it is to be delivered for registration under the Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers’ Rights (PPV\&FR) Act, 2001. Applicants submitting such plant material from a country other than India shall make sure that all customs and quarantine requirements stipulated under relevant national legislations and regulations are complied with. As a minimum the applicant may submit 10 grafted or budded plants of plum on seedling rootstock for each centre.
2. The plant material supplied should be visibly healthy, not lacking in vigour, nor affected by any important pest or disease.
3. The plant material should not have undergone any treatment, which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If it has been treated, full details of the treatment must be given.

## III. Conduct of tests

1. The minimum duration of the DUS tests shall normally be at least for two fruiting seasons in succeeded years.
2. The test should be carried out under conditions ensuring satisfactory growth for the expression of the relevant characteristics of the variety and for conduct of the evaluation. Each test should include total of 6 trees for each variety. In particular, it is essential that the trees produce a satisfactory crop of fruit in each of the two growing seasons.
3. Test plot design

The design of the tests should be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measurement or counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing cycle. The additional test protocol for special purpose may be established by PPV \& FRA

1 Locations : Two
2 No. of replication : Three
3 Treatment unit : Two trees per replication (total 6 plants/location)
4 Spacing : $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$

## IV. Methods and observations

The characteristics described in the Table of characteristics (see section VII) shall be used for the testing varieties and hybrids for their DUS.

1. For the assessment of Distinctiveness and Stability, observations shall be made on 6 plants or 18 parts taken from 6 plants with the exception of the observation on fruit which should be made on at least 20 fruits. In the case of parts of plants, the number to be taken from each of the plant should be three.
2. For the assessment of uniformity a population standard of $5 \%$ with an acceptance probability of at least $95 \%$ should be applied. In the case of a sample size of 6 plants, no off types are allowed.
3. All observations of the tree and the branches should be made during dormancy.
4. Time of bloom should be recorded from opening of first flower to $75 \%$ bloom.
5. All observations on the leaf should be made on fully developed leaves of the middle third of current season's shoot.
6. Days to maturity should be recorded from $75 \%$ blooming to harvest.
7. Observations on the mature fruit should be recorded when fruit is ready for harvest.
8. Type of assessment of characteristics as indicated in column of Table VII of characteristics is as follows.
a) MG: Measurement by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants
b) MS: Measurement by a single observation of individual plants or parts of plant
c) VG: Visual assessments by a single observation of a group of plants or part of plants
d) VS: Visual assessments by observation of individual plants or parts of plant

## V. Grouping of varieties

1. The candidate varieties for DUS testing shall be divided into groups to facilitate the assessment of Distinctiveness. Characteristics, which are known from experience not to vary, or to vary only slightly within a variety and which in their various states are fairly evenly distributed across all varieties in the collection are suitable for grouping purpose.
2. It is recommended that the concerned authorities use the following characteristics for grouping plum varieties
a. Tree: Habit (characteristic no. 2)
b. Flower: arrangement of petals (characteristic no. 15)
c. Leaf blade: Incisions of margin (characteristic no. 20)
d. Leaf: shape of nectaries (characteristic no. 22)
e. Fruit: shape in lateral view (characteristic no. 26)
f. Stone: shape in lateral view (characteristic no. 44)
g. Stone: shape in ventral view (characteristic no. 45)

## VI. Characteristics and symbols

1. To assess Distinctiveness, Uniformity and Stability, the characteristics and their states as given in the Table of characteristics (Section VII) shall be used.
2. Notes (1 to 9 ) shall be given for each state of expression for different characteristics for the purpose of electronic data processing.
3. Legend
(*) Characteristics that shall be observed during every growing season on all varieties and shall always be included in the description of the variety, except when the state of expression of any of these characters is rendered impossible by a preceding phenological characteristics or by the environmental conditions of the testing region. Under such exceptional situation, adequate explanation shall be provided.
(+) See Explanation on the Table of characteristics in Section VIII. It is to be noted that for certain characteristics, the plant parts on which observations to be taken are given in the explanation or figure(s) for clarity and not the colour variation.
4. A code number in the sixth column of Table of characteristics indicates the optimum stage for the observation of each characteristic during growth and development of plant. The relevant growth stages corresponding to these code numbers are described below:
a. Observations on tree vigour and habit should be made during dormant season
b. Observations on flowers should be made at the time of full bloom (75\% flowering)
c. The observations on the leaves should be made on mature leaves from current season's shoot.
d. Observation on fruit should be made at mature fruit

## VII. Table of characteristics:

| S.No. | Characteristics | States | Notes | Example varieties | Stage of observ ation | Type of assess ment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | Tree :type of bearing | On spur only | 1 | Santa Rosa | a | VG |
|  |  | On spur and long shoots | 2 | Black Beauty, Kubio |  |  |
|  |  | On long shoots only | 3 | -- |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{2} \\ & (+) \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Tree : habit | Upright | 1 | Santa Rosa, Methley | a | VG |
|  |  | Semi upright | 2 | Krassavica, Frontier, Kubio-26 |  |  |
|  |  | Spreading | 3 | Kubio, Queen Ann |  |  |
|  |  | Drooping | 4 | - |  |  |
| 3 | One year old shoot: colour | Greyish brown | 3 | Santa Rosa, , Kubio-26, Tarrol, | a | VG |
|  |  | Yellow brown | 5 | Burbank, Black Amber |  |  |
|  |  | Brown | 7 | Methley, Kanto-5 |  |  |
|  |  | Reddish brown | 9 | Kubio, Red Beaut |  |  |
| 4 | Vegetative bud: Size (mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { small } \\ & (<5) \end{aligned}$ | 3 | Beauty, Krassavica | a | MG |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Medium } \\ & (>10) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 | Kubio-26, Santa Rosa |  |  |
|  |  | Large (11-15) | 7 | Kanto-5, Red Plum |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5} \\ & (*) \\ & (+) \end{aligned}$ | Vegetative Bud: shape of apex | Obtuse | 1 | Burbank, Kanto-5, Beauty, Tarrol | a | VG |
|  |  | Acute | 2 | Red Plum |  |  |
|  |  | Round | 3 | Red Beauty, Mariposa, Frontier |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathbf{6} \\ (*) \\ (+) \\ (+) \end{array}$ | One year old shoot: Position of vegetative bud in relation to shoot | Adpressed | 1 | Methley, Kubio, ,Beauty | a | VG |
|  |  | Slightly held out | 2 | Queen Ann, Red Plum, Red Beaut, Black Amber |  |  |
|  |  | Markedly held out | 3 | AU-Cherry |  |  |
| 7 | Flower: diameter (mm) | Small (<15) | 3 | Tarrol, Beauty, Krassavica, Methley | b | MG |
|  |  | Medium (15-20) | 5 | Red Plum, Kubio |  |  |
|  |  | Large (>20) | 7 | Mariposa, Kanto-5, Santa Rosa |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathbf{8} \\ (+) \end{array}$ | Time of begining of flowering | Early | 3 | Mariposa |  | VG |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | Burbank |  |  |
|  |  | Late | 7 | Kubio-26 |  |  |
| 9 <br> (*) <br> (+) | Petal: Shape | Ovate | 3 | Santa Rosa, Tarrol | b | VG |
|  |  | Elliptic | 5 | Burbank, Queen Ann |  |  |
|  |  | Circular | 7 | Red Plum, Kanto5 |  |  |
|  |  | Oblate | 9 | - |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{1 0} \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Petal: length (mm) | Short < 7 | 3 | Red Plum, Kanto-5 | b | MG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Medium 7-10 | 5 | Santa Rosa, Black AmberBurbank |  |  |
|  |  | Large > 10 | 7 | Black Beaut, Red Beaut, Mariposa |  |  |
| 11 | Petal: undulation of margin | Weak | 3 | Red beaut | b | VG |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | Kanto-5 |  |  |
|  |  | Strong | 7 | Santa Rosa, Mariposa |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 2} \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Stigma: position compared to anthers | Below | 3 | Queen Ann, Santa Rosa | b | VG |
|  |  | At the same level | 5 | Kubio-26, Methley, Red Beaut, |  |  |
|  |  | Above | 7 | Krassavica, Kubio |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 3} \\ & \left({ }^{*}\right) \\ & (+) \end{aligned}$ | Sepal: shape | Triangular | 3 | Mariposa | b | VG |
|  |  | Ovate | 5 | Tarrol, Beauty |  |  |
|  |  | Elliptic | 7 | Krassivica, Methley, |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Pedicel: Length (mm) | Short (<10) | 3 | Beauty, Methley | b | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { MS/V } \\ \text { G } \end{array}$ |
|  |  | Medium (10-20) | 5 | Kubio |  |  |
|  |  | Long (>20) | 7 | Burbank |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{1 5} \\ \left({ }^{*}\right) \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | Flower: arrangement of petals | Free | 3 | Red Plum,Santa Rosa, Kanto-5 | b | VG |
|  |  | Touching | 5 | Kubio-26, Tarrol, Black Amber Black Beaut, |  |  |
|  |  | Overlapping | 7 | Mariposa, Kubio, Queen Ann, Red Beaut, Burbank |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Leaf blade: ratio length/width (cm) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Low } \\ <2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3 | Krassavica, Black Beaut, Kubio, Methley | c | MG |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Medium } \\ & 2-2.5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | Tarrol, Black Amber, Red Plum |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { High } \\ >3 \end{array}$ | 7 | Mariposa, Red Beaut, Beauty |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 17 \\ (+) \\ (*) \end{array}$ | Leaf blade: shape | Ovate | 1 | Methley, Mariposa, Black Beaut, Queen Ann | c | VG |
|  |  | Elliptic | 2 | Red Beaut, Black Amber, Beauty, Krasavica, Santa Rosa |  |  |
|  |  | Obovate | 3 | Kubio, Red Plum ,Burbank, Tarrol |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{1 8} \\ & (+) \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Leaf blade: angle of apex( excluding tip) | Acute | 3 | Monarch, Black Amber | c | VG |
|  |  | Right angled | 5 | Kubio-26 |  |  |
|  |  | Obtuse | 7 | Black Beaut, Tarrol, Burbank |  |  |
| 19 | Leaf blade: density of pubescence on lower side | Sparse | 3 | Black Beaut, Mariposa, Beauty | c | VG |
|  |  | medium | 5 | Queen Ann, Santa Ros |  |  |
|  |  | strong | 7 | Kanto-5 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 0} \\ & (+) \\ & (*) \\ & \left({ }^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Leaf blade: incisions of margin | Crenate | 1 | Red Beaut, Black Beaut, Tarrol | c | VG |
|  |  | Bi-crenate | 2 | - |  |  |
|  |  | Serrate | 3 | Santa Rosa |  |  |
|  |  | Bi-serrate | 4 | - |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 1} \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Petiole: Length (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Short } \\ & <1 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | Black Amber, Burbank, Tarrol | c | MG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Medium } \\ & 1-1.5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | Kubio, Krassavica, |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Long } \\ >1.5 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Beauty |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 2} \\ & (+) \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Leaf: shape of nactaries | round | 3 | Kubio, Methley, Kanto-5 | c | VG |
|  |  | reniform | 5 | Red Beaut, Tarrol |  |  |
| 23 | Leaf : Position of nectaries | Predominant on base of leaf blade | 1 | Methley | c | VG |
|  |  | Equal on base of leaf blade and on petiole | 2 | Kubio-26 |  |  |
|  |  | Predominant on petiole | 3 | Beauty, Queen Ann |  |  |
| 24 | Fruit: length of stalk (mm) | Short <10 | 3 | Red Plum, | d | MG |
|  |  | Medium 10-18 | 5 | Krassavica, Kanto-5 |  |  |
|  |  | Long >18 | 7 | Beauty, Red Beaut, Mariposa |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 25 \\ (*) \end{gathered}$ | Fruit: size (weight in g) | Small (<15) | 3 | Kanto-5, Black Beaut, Burbank | d | MG |
|  |  | Medium (15-30) | 5 | Krassavica, Beauty |  |  |
|  |  | Large (>30) | 7 | Santa Rosa, Mariposa |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & (+) \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Fruit: shape in lateral view | Oblong | 1 | - | d | VG |
|  |  | Elliptic | 2 | Beauty |  |  |
|  |  | Circular | 3 | Red Beaut ,Tarrol |  |  |
|  |  | Oblate | 4 | Black Amber, Krassavica, Mariposa, |  |  |
|  |  | Cordate | 5 | Queen Ann, Kanto-5, Kubio |  |  |
|  |  | Obovate | 6 | - |  |  |
|  |  | Obcordate | 7 | Santa Rosa |  |  |
| 27 | Fruit: symmetry | Symmetric | 1 | Methley | d | VG |
|  |  | Asymmetric | 9 | Red Beaut, Krassavica, Mariposa |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 8} \\ & (+) \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Fruit: shape of apex | Pointed | 3 | Beauty, Red Plum, Santa Rosa | d | VG |
|  |  | Rounded | 5 | Red Beaut, Methley |  |  |
|  |  | Truncated | 7 | Mariposa |  |  |
|  |  | Depressed | 9 | Black Beaut, Burbank, Red Beaut |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 9} \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Fruit: shape of base | Pointed | 3 | - | d | VG |
|  |  | Truncated | 5 | Methley, Krassavica |  |  |
|  |  | Depressed | 7 | Santa Rosa, Mariposa |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 0} \\ & (+) \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Fruit: depth of stalk cavity (mm) | Shallow (<3) | 3 | Methley, Queen Ann | d | MG |
|  |  | Medium (3-6) | 5 | Red plum, Burbank, Kanto-5 |  |  |


|  |  | Deep (>6) | 7 | Red Beaut, Santa Rosa, Mariposa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{3 1} \\ & (+) \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Fruit: width of stalk cavity (mm) | Narrow (<5) | 3 | Kanto-5 | d | MG |
|  |  | Medium (5-10) | 5 | Beauty, Black Beaut, Kubio-26 |  |  |
|  |  | Broad (>10) | 7 | Red Beaut, Santa Rosa, Mariposa |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (*) \end{gathered}$ | Fruit: depth of suture | Shallow | 3 | Kanto-5, Queen Ann | d | MG |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | Krassavica, Beauty, Black Amber, |  |  |
|  |  | Deep | 7 | Mariposa, Red Beaut, Santa Rosa |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 33 \\ (*) \end{array}$ | Fruit: bloom of skin | Weak | 3 | Red Beaut, Black Beaut | d | VG |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | Mariposa, Santa Rosa Black Amber, Methley |  |  |
|  |  | Strong | 7 | Kanto-5, Black Beaut |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 4} \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Fruit: relative area of over colour of skin | Small | 3 | Tarrol | d | VG |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | Mariposa, Santa Rosa, Burbank |  |  |
|  |  | Large | 7 | CITH-P-1, CITH-P-2, Kubio-26 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 35 \\ (*) \end{gathered}$ | Fruit: over colour of skin | Yellow | 1 | Burbank, | d | VG |
|  |  | Orange yellow | 2 | Kanto-5,Tarrol |  |  |
|  |  | Medium Red | 3 | Beauty, Santa Rosa, Krassavica, Mariposa, |  |  |
|  |  | Dark red | 4 | Red Beaut, Red Plum, Methley, Kubio |  |  |
|  |  | Purple | 5 | Black Beaut |  |  |
|  |  | Dark blue | 6 | - |  |  |
|  |  | Black | 7 | - |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 6} \\ & (*) \end{aligned}$ | Fruit: pattern of over colour of skin | Flecks only | 1 | Mariposa, Beauty, | d | VG |
|  |  | Mottled | 3 | Red Beaut, Tarrol, Kanto-5 |  |  |
|  |  | Solid flush | 5 | Methley, Black Beaut, Red Plum |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 7} \\ & \left({ }^{*}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Fruit: density of Lenticels | Sparse | 3 | Methley, Tarrol, Kanto-5 | d | VG |
|  |  | Medium | 5 | Black Beaut, Burbank, Red Beaut |  |  |
|  |  | Dense | 7 | Red Plum, Queen Ann |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (*) \end{gathered}$ | Fruit: colour of flesh | Whitish | 1 | Red Beaut | d | VG |
|  |  | Green | 2 | Tarrol |  |  |
|  |  | Yellowish green | 3 | Kubio-26, Tarrol |  |  |
|  |  | Yellow | 4 | Red Plum, Mariposa, Kanto-5, Krassavica |  |  |
|  |  | Orange | 6 | Black Amber |  |  |
|  |  | Medium red | 5 | Queen Ann |  |  |
|  |  | Dark red | 7 | Methley, Beauty, Kubio |  |  |
|  |  | Purplish | 8 | - |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 39 \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | Fruit: <br> firmness of | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Soft } \\ <30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3 | Kanto-5, Queen Ann, Beauty | d | MG |


|  | Flesh | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Medium } \\ 30-35\end{array}$ | 5 | Red Plum, Monarch, Red Beaut |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Firm |  |  |  |  |
| $>35$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

## VIII. Explanation for the Table of characteristics

## Character 2: Tree habit


1
Upright

2
Semi upright


3
Spreading


4
Drooping

Character 5: Vegetative bud: shape of apex


1
Obtuse


2
Acute


3
Round

Character 6: One-year-old shoot: position of vegetative bud in relation to shoot


Character 8: Time of beginning of flowering
The time of beginning of flowering is when all trees have $10 \%$ open flowers.

Character 9: Petal: Shape


3
Ovate


5
Elliptic


7
Circular


9
Oblate


Character 15: Flower: arrangement of petals


3
Free


5
Touching


7
Overlapping

## Character 17: Leaf blade: shape



Character 18: Leaf blade: angle of apex (excluding tip)


Acute


Right angles

Character 20: Leaf blade: incisions of margin


2
Bi-crenate


3
Serrate


4
Bi-serrate

Character 22: Leaf: shape of nectaries


Character 26: Fruit: shape in lateral view


1
Oblong


5
Cordate


2
Elliptic


6
Obovate



4
Oblate


7
Obcordate

## Character 28: Fruit: shape of apex



Character 30: Fruit: depth of stalk cavity


3
Shallow


5
Medium


7
Deep

Character 31: Fruit: width of stalk cavity

3
Narrow

5
Medium

7
Broad

## Character 39: Fruit: Firmness of flesh

To be observed at eating ripeness with firmness tester expressed in RI (relative Index).
Character 41: Fruit: Sweetness
Calculation of total soluble solids measured using a refractometer. The measured unitis the degree Brix ( ${ }^{\circ}$ Brix). One degree Brix corresponds to 1 gram of sucrose in 100 grams of solution.


## Character 45: Stone: shape in ventral view



1
Narrow Elliptic


2
Medium Elliptic


3
Broad Ovate

## Character 47: Stone: width of stalk-end


1
Narrow

2
Medium

3
Broad
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